IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 3659 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE K.R.VYAS

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

SHREE VARDHMAN CO. OPERATIVE BANK LTD

Versus

SHRI LALITBHAI A MEHTA CONVENOR OF AHMEDABAD URBAN

Appearance:
MR BHAYA for MS LILU K BHAYA for Petitioner
MS DR KACHHAVAH for Respondent No. 1
MR INDRAVADAN PARMAR for Respondent No. 1
DELETED for Respondents No. 2-3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE K.R.VYAS

Date of decision: 17/10/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

On 8.10.2001, learned Counsel for the petitioner, with a view to take instructions in the matter, sought

time regarding the suit filed by the petitioner with respect to the subject matter of the petition. Today, when the matter is called out, it is stated that the suit, being Civil Suit No.6045 of 1998 is pending in the City Civil Court, at Ahmedabad. It may be stated that there cannot be parallel proceedings with respect to the same subject in two different Courts. In that view of the matter, no purpose will be served by keeping the petition pending in this Court. The petition is therefore, rejected without entering into the merits of the case. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.

(K.R. Vyas, J.)

Sreeram.